UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/583,947 01/16/2007 Gabor Fodor		2380-1394	1524	
23117 NIXON & VAN	7590 04/12/201 NDERHYE. PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	TRAN, MONG-THUY THI		
ARLINGTON,	VA 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2617		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/12/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/583,947	FODOR ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MONG-THUY TRAN	2617	

	MONG-THUY TRAN	2617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>24 March 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS API	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.			
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appefor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Claperiods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this Acono event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth interthan SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the state forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on the corresponding amount of the corresponding a	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	te extension fee e action; or (2) as		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b  (a) They raise new issues that would require further con  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belov  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the second co	sideration and/or search (see NOT v); er form for appeal by materially rec	E below); ducing or simplifying th			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s).					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provious The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1 - 11 and 13 - 17.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		l be entered and an ex	planation of		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	/ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ıl and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  See Continuation Sheet.					
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (I</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	ア10/SB/U8) Paper No(s)				
/Lewis G. West/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617	/MONG-THUY TRAN/ Examiner, Art Unit 2617				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant argues that Chan fails to disclose "a plurality of access selector adapters, each one being a network entity provided separate from the user terminal". The Examiner respectfully disagree with the preceding arguments since based on claim language, Chan discloses three different wireless access technologies (i.e., SAT, UMTS, and GPRS) are separate from the user terminal (i.e., laptop or any handheld terminal). In addition, the Applicant also argues that Chan in view of Dorenbosch fail to disclose "the service node cannot interacting with the access adapters to select the actual access network". The Examiner respectfully disagree since Chan discloses the system includes the service node is also responsible for performing any resources management functionalities during handover, and Dorenbosch discloses that the gateway, which acts as a service node, can determine which connection should be the primary connection. Therefore, the service node can interacting with the access adapters to select the actual access network.